

Assessment of apprentice: [name] _____

At [name of national exhibition] _____

Criteria	Notes
<p>Judging exhibits on their own</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Accuracy in evaluation and soundness of conclusions• Leading the team after judging their own exhibits• 'Defending' their assessment to the team• Knowledge of the relevant GREV, SREV and Guidelines.	<p>[Indicate discipline/s, number of exhibits, standards of exhibit, and number of frames.]</p>
<p>Performance in the team</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Level of preparation• Breadth and depth of philatelic knowledge demonstrated.• Ability to communicate with team members and others.• Working with the team• Conduct within the Jury room• Ability to work under pressure• Quality of any specific tasks allotted, including posting of results on frames• Application to tasks – on time, diligent, shows initiative	
<p>Comments on their suitability as a philatelic judge.</p> <p>Team Leader[name]: _____ Signed _____</p>	
<p>The above report has been discussed with the apprentice. Comments from the Apprentice.</p> <p>Apprentice: _____ Signed _____</p>	
<p>Recommendation from Chairman of the Jury. I have considered the report above and from my own observations I believe that:</p> <p>_____</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Has had a successful apprenticeship and should be given the opportunity to complete a second apprenticeship at an early date</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Has had a second successful apprenticeship and should be included on the NZPF Judges' Register at the next meeting of NZPF.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Has NOT had a successful apprenticeship. My recommendations about future involvement in exhibitions are contained in a separate report to NZPF.</p> <p>My recommendation has been discussed with the apprentice and team leader</p> <p>Jury Chairman [name]: _____ Signed: _____ Date: _____</p>	